

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/831,327 | 05/07/2001 | Hachirou Kushida | 1217-010737 | 2880 |
| 75 | 590 04/09/2003 | | | |
| Russell D Orkin 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TURNER, ARCHENE A | |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 15219-1818 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1775 | 7 |
| | | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 9 |
|---|---|---|--------------------|
| • | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/831,327 | KUSHIDA ET | AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | Archene Turner | 1775 | n addraga |
| Period for Reply | appears on the cover | she t with the correspondence | : audress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by such any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howen. a reply within the statutory mireriod will apply and will expire tatute, cause the application to | ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | his communication. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>13 January 2003</u> . | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ | This action is non-fi | nal. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims | lowance except for fo der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , | rmal matters, prosecution as t 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | o the merits is |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,4,6-16,18,19,21,22,24-57 and | 60-78 is/are nending | in the application | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | • • | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | diawii iioiii considei | ation. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-16,18,19,21,22,24-57 and 6</u> | M-78 is/are rejected | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 197 ale lejected. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election require | mant | |
| Application Papers | id/or election require | niciit. | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a | | ed to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection t | | | (a). |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _ | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required i | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been rece | ived. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a | priority documents ha I Bureau (PCT Rule 1 | ive been received in this Natio 7.2(a)). | |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | estic priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision | onal application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | provisional application | on has been received. | • |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not | ′ = | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic | e Action Summary | ρ | art of Paper No. 7 |

Application/Control Number: 09/831,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6-16,18-19,21-22,24-57, 60-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurakata et al (5,985,469).

Kurakata et al discloses the claimed steel surface hardened and with a layer of the claimed carbide and over layer made by the claimed process.

3. Claims 1, 4, 6-15,19,21-22,24-28, 38-44, 61-63, 70-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suwa (JP 51059732) or Glory KK (JP 56008004) or Daido Tokushuko KK (JP 62199765).

Suwa or Glory KK or Daido Tokushuko KK disclose the claimed steel surface hardened and with a layer of the claimed carbide and over layer made by the claimed process.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16, 18, 29-37, 45-57, 60, 64-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suwa (JP 51059732) or Glory KK (JP 56008004) or Daido Tokushuko KK (JP 62199765) in view of Daido Hoxan Inc (JP 09071854 or 5792282 or 5593510 or 5556483).

Suwa or Glory KK or Daido Tokushuko disclose the claimed invention including the claimed hard coating on a hardened steel surface for a watch except for the claimed method. Daido Hoxan Inc discloses the claimed method of making a hardened coating. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method of Daido Hoxan Inc. as these methods are known in the metal art to improve the hardening properties.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,4,6-16,18,19,21,22,24-57,60-78 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry regarding this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Archene Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4344. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1700, Crystal Plaza 2, 8th floor, reception area. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 305-3599 (for official after final faxes) or (703) 305-5408 (for all other official faxes). This location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Art Unit 1775. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to Examiners in Art Unit 1775.

Art Unit: 1775

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat